

REASONS AND JUSTIFICATION FOR MR. KOHAMA DECLINING DET. IINUMA'S CYBER CRIME INTERVIEW ARRANGEMENT

Presented to expose the lies, acts of omission, tactics, and trickery that validated my reason for distrusting members of the Honolulu Police Department Criminal Investigations Division, (HPD/CID) concerning Mr. Kohama's interview decision

Det. Iinuma and his colleagues at HPD were dishonest when dealing with my cyber crime cases. Det. Iinuma permanently closed every cyber case I filed from August 2016 to October 2023 to avoid his responsibility to refer them to the FBI. His actions to close my cases and not refer them were done in ways that were not forthright or ethical. He closed my cases permanently stating I had no intention to cooperate with him by not delivering a group of electronic devices for forensic inspection by his imposed deadlines. Det. Iinuma failed to reveal he gave me no-notice, he was unavailable to receive the device on the day he specified, that he did not allow me sufficient time to have a replacement computer securely configured to stay online, and that he demanded my encrypted cell phones for which he had no jurisdiction by his own admission.

Without informing me of any change after permanently closing my cases, Det. Iinuma communicated with my long-term computer technician Mr. Laine Kohama who validated I was a cyber crime victim. While communicating with Mr. Kohama about an interview, he spoke as if my cases were still active, referencing his (quote) "ongoing investigation into validating Mr. Finney's criminal complaints... We need a recorded interview with you before proceeding with this case with the feds". Det. Iinuma advised Mr. Kohama that he had already forwarded some of "our cases" to our federal partners. They are looking forward to locating "these criminals."

Det/ Iinuma mislead Mr. Kohama. Det. Iinuma mislead me. In October 2022 he closed all my cases permanently, did so falsely, and never communicated with me again. From December 2022 through January 2023 Det. Iinuma informed Mr. Kohama federal law enforcement had an interest in my cases. Yet Det. Iinuma purposely excluded me from the dialog never telling Mr. Kohama e had already closed my cases permanently. At the same time he made his investigative statements he knew he had already closed my cases.

My decision saved Det. Iinuma and his colleagues the time and effort that would have been expended toward some other malicious motive, certainly nothing to my benefit. Not (repeat) not thoroughly investigating the repeated disabling of my Virtual Private Network (VPN) software by the cyber criminals was essential to ensure illegal surveillance and selective blocking of my attempts to contact media, forensic and other agencies was successful. Stalking and cyber attacks against my VPN software continue.

For many valid reasons I do not trust Det. Iinuma. This is why Mr. Kohama declined to be interviewed. Whatever Det. Iinuma had planned would not be done to benefit me. His motive was to keep all my cyber crime cases that were never thoroughly investigated by HPD permanently closed so there is no accountability, and to not refer any, including the international case, to the FBI. To gain additional clarity on the manipulated permanent case closures and acts taken to silence whistle blowing go to:

<https://bitl.ly/808cyberattacks>