Nikon <Nikon@precisionphotography.live> Mon 10/17/2022 7:33 PM To: linuma, Thomas S <tiinuma@honolulu.gov>;'Ricardo Finney' <ricardof@mailfence.com> Cc: 'Arnold Phillips' <atp@atphillips.com>

This is a corrected copy of the prior email with line spacing

Aloha, Detective linuma...

Unfortunately for me, the very bad and unethical habit of twisting information and committing acts of omission is exhibited again. For the record, your reply is false, incomplete, misleading, and does not include all the correspondence I sent in response to your request for my Apple computer, two Armadillo encrypted phones, and an iPhone. My response was not "no". You neglected to include that your request at 0800 hours on 10-14-22 was no notice. You neglected that I explained I had not read your 10-13-22 1748 email until the same morning you called, and that I had no time to prepare on no-notice to give you my daily use computer and be left with no way to connect online.

You also neglected to include two emails I sent you after your stated three additional days for me to arrange a backup computer. Your lack of concern does not eliminate your understanding of my inability to operate as those who are not targeted for cyber attacks are. At 4:25 p.m. on 10/14/22 I sent you an email explaining this:

From: Nikon <Nikon@precisionphotography.live>Sent: Friday, October 14, 2022 4:25 PMTo: linuma, Thomas S <tiinuma@honolulu.gov>; 'Ricardo Finney' <ricardof@mailfence.com>Cc: 'Arnold Phillips' <atp@atphillips.com>; laine@gigaisland.com <laine@gigaisland.com> Subject: Re: HPD #22-154047 FINAL OFFICAL NOTICE: Evidence requested - Detective linuma

Aloha, Detective limuma...

Ref your comment:

"As I spoke to you on the phone on Friday, October 14, 2022, at 0701 hours, you refused to drop off your Apple computer at the Kapolei Police Station today as evidence because you needed it for your daily use".

That is not (repeat) not what I told you. This is what I stated verbatim: "I read your email sent last night at 7:40 this morning after you called me to inquire if I had seen it. I explained I had not and only saw it this morning". You asked me out of nowhere to deliver my daily use computer with no forewarning.

I did not refuse to drop off my computer. I said I didn't read your email until 20 minutes before the deadline you imposed making it impossible for me to do what you wanted on nonotice. Please refer to the recording you made. I ask for the fifth time in the past six weeks: Please accurately convey my statements and not stop misrepresenting them and the circumstances surrounding an event such as this one

Mr. Kohama has serviced my computers since August 2016, In that time I have never placed a computer online unless I have had him to install the security software necessary to mitigate or prevent compromises. I need time to schedule a date to deliver my computer and have the same security software and other installations done that I have had accomplished with all my devices.

You are asking me, a targeted whistle blower, to place a new stock computer with minimal protection online that is guaranteed to receive cyber attacks and be disabled. The reason you have 30+ unanswered cyber crime statements is because of the intensity and volume of the cyber attacks I have already suffered. Your Monday 8 AM timeline does not give me sufficient time to have my additional computer configured for maximum security. I am unable to dictate Mr. Kohama's availability. I have contacted him with a rush work order request and will deliver my computer for servicing as soon as he has an opening. I have included him as cc in this correspondence, Mahalo, Ricardo

Early in our interaction I made you very well aware of the online limitations and challenges I face, one of which is to give myself a fighting chance online. You choosing to ignore that makes me non-complaint according to your doctrine. Fairly, I should be allowed sufficient time to prepare. Factually, the sense of urgency you imposed denied me the ability to prepare for cyber attacks that I know will come.

For the record you state I had not intention of cooperating with you. Acts of omission are just as damaging as lies. You also neglected to reference a second email I sent explaining I could not operate a new computer on my shielded network until it was allowed by my network engineers and would need time to work with them.

Nikon <Nikon@precisionphotography.live>Fri 10/14/2022 4=44 PMTo: linuma, Thomas S <tiinuma@honolulu.gov>Cc: 'Arnold Phillips' <atp@atphillips.com>;laine@gigaisland.com <laine@gigaisland.com>

Aloha, Detective linuma...

Additionally, concerning your Monday 8 a.m. deadline, all my computers that access my firewall-protected network are whitelisted. In order to operate a new computer on my network I must first coordinate having the MAC address of the additional computer added to the whitelist so it can operate in my business network. This will not be done by Monday, and if it were, I still need the computer protected by Mr. Kohama. Otherwise it will be hacked and disabled when placed online. Because of the cyber attacks I receive I am not able to quickly react to changes as a normal computer user would.

Mahalo, Ricardo Finney

Concerning the Armadillo phones state you have no evidence that international hackers are involved. Like my other 30+ cases that weren't investigated, you have no evidence because nothing was pursued. You did no more than I did! There is much more that can be done than to repeat my efforts. Hacked phones was not my only claim.

You omitted finding out why the communication between the vendor and I abruptly stopped,

why I received a wrong number response, and all the other odd events that point to someone not wanting the vendor to know the hacked phones had been returned, It's strange you don't want to investigate and find out.

Most important of all by your own admission you have no jurisdiction in the matter as you neglected to mention, yet you refused to refer the Armadillophones case to the FBI since crimes were referenced that fall out of your jurisdiction.

This content came from your hands in your 8/15/2022 email:

"In your HPD case #22-267050, Theft 2nd, you have stated that you purchased two encrypted phones online from Armadillohone, which is a business in Canada. If this is a wellorganized cybercriminal, and they can hack you internationally, this is bigger than what HPD can investigate.

HPD does not have international jurisdiction. HPD does not have the authority to investigate any international crimes. And if this was a federal crime, it would be best to contact any federal law enforcement agencies because they may have a partnership with other countries.

HPD's primary investigation focuses on crimes within the City and County of Honolulu. We are able to enforce criminal laws within the State of Hawaii. HPD can't enforce Canada's laws, and if a person hacked Armadillohone, we do not have the authority to investigate Armadillohone. It might be best to reach out to Canada's law enforcement agencies or any federal law enforcement agencies to investigate Armadillophone. You may refer them to HPD case #22-267050".

I didn't state this, nor did anyone else. You did.

Sir, you want it both ways. That is not right.

There is no unwillingness on my part. As I've documented, you have purposely given me requirements and deadlines that are impossible to meet while weaponizing your terms and agreement to work one way with zero accountability on your part. As has been done for the prior 6+ years, more effort has been placed into not investigating and solving the cyber crimes I've experienced than to address them as they should have been. Like the others involved, I don't believe you intended to help me from the beginning, sought methods not to, and is the reason why after nearly three months of failed interaction I still have no cyber crime case progression.

You state all 30+ cyber cases from 2016 - 2022 are closed based on my unwillingness to cooperate with the investigator for two cases, one of which is international. You refuse to refer the case from Canada to the FBI for investigation. You state HPD all my pending cases are closed. Per your all-inclusive HPD policy notice, if I have any other incidents related to hacking, including the delivery of a court-admissible forensic report and affidavit proving a cyber attack, I'll be arrested for filing a false cyber crime report. Duly noted.

I trust you'll extend the professional courtesy to Mr. Kohama on Tuesday, October 18, 2022 to inform him the meeting you wanted is no longer planned.

Ricardo Finney