

On 8/15/24 I forwarded the attached emails to Hawaii State Republican Representative Elijah Pierick. Within I requested he forward my situation to the US House Oversight and Accountability Committee using their whistleblower submission email address judiciary_whistleblower@mail.house.gov. I sent Representative Pierick the House contact email address I sent to judiciary_whistleblower@mail.house.gov on 3/21/24 but did not receive a reply.

Although a delivery receipt was generated, Representative Pierick never received my email. This communication is one of nearly 400 emails, texts, faxes, and phone calls blocked by cyber criminals known and sanctioned by the DOJ/FBI/Politician-led cabal. They block all my messages attempting to keep their corruption hidden and silent.

Email forwarded from one of my other email accounts.**Fwd: Whistleblower Needs Help**

Dan Parker <onmywaytoday@onmail.com>

Thu 8/15/2024 3:47 PM

To: reppierick@capitol.hawaii.gov <reppierick@capitol.hawaii.gov>

Cc: Joshua Nine <joshua1nine@lionofjudah808.live>

Aloha, Representative Pierick...

Tomorrow early a.m. please endorse and forward this email to judiciary_whistleblower@mail.house.gov. Again, to verify interference does not occur, please request receipt confirmation and advise when you receive a response from the Judiciary.

Again, thank you for helping me. I await your replies.

God Bless,

Ricardo

Sent via [OnMail](#)

Sent via [OnMail](#)

On Mar 21, 2024 at 08:44, <[Dan Parker](#)> wrote:

From:

Mr. Ricardo Finney

92-1206 Hookeha Place

Kapolei, HI 96707

(808) 255-9701

joshua1nine@lionofjudah808.live

X username phillip_aeros RicardoIn808

Re:

Weaponized DOJ/FBI/State/City Federal Civil Rights Violations - Cover Up

Help Needed

Urgently!

Aloha,

This correspondence is from Mr. Ricardo A. Finney, a resident of Kapolei, Hawaii. I am a disabled, elderly US Air Force veteran, a targeted federal whistle blower against the US Army, and a cyber crime victim. I am contacting you with a request for help in response to violations of my federal civil rights by the DOJ, FBI, US Army, and Hawaii federal, state, and city politicians. In retaliation for getting involved with attempting to expose the abuse of a US Soldier assigned to Walter Reed Hospital in Maryland that later died under mysterious circumstances, members in the federal government or their agents in collusion with the aforementioned parties continue to conduct extremely sophisticated cyber attacks against me and my family trying to silence me.

Officials in the Department of Justice, the FBI, the Honolulu Police Department, the Democratic Mayor of Honolulu, the Democratic Hawaii State Attorney General, Democrat Congressman Case, Democrat Senator Schatz and others know the attacks have, and continue to happen and refuse to act to keep the cyber crimes and negligence hidden. I asked cyber crime agents from Homeland Defense and the Secret Service to help me. Both refused.

Through the FBI's C3 cyber crime complaint system, I reported 28 cyber attacks to the FBI from August 2016 through July 2023. The FBI purposely ignored each report and refused to investigate any of my reports to keep what happened to me and the whistle blowing matter silent and hidden. Agents I contacted in person and via email refused to investigate my complaints. Agents ignored my email requests for law enforcement intervention. From August 2016 through July 2022 I filed 36 cyber crime reports with HPD. Officers in the HPD Criminal Investigation either ignored my reports or replied with evasive, elusive responses or had none at all. Through repeated acts of omission, none of my reports were investigated, then HPD applied tricks, underhanded tactics and ploys to claim I was "uncooperative" and closed all my cases permanently to keep their negligence hidden. The Honolulu Mayor refused my request to have his police chief to act to stop the cyber crimes. The Hawaii Attorney General told me to get an attorney.

The determination by HPD to close all my cases was not based on an honest, forthright, unbiased assessment of my cyber crime cases centered on facts, truth, and reality. Instead, through deceit, intentional misdirection, witness intimidation, and various tricks, tactics, and ploys to include twisting information and committing acts of omission, Det. Linuma from HPD misled me the entire 13 weeks we interacted from August to October 2022. As was the case with the officers who came before him, Det. Linuma did nothing to resolve any of my 30+ cyber crime case on file. However, this time I was led me on a pre-planned hamster wheel of ever-increasing administrative tasks with most of them being impossible to resolve yet demanded, none which were true case investigations.

On September 3, 2020, I sent a letter to Department of Justice Attorney General Barr explaining my circumstances, the reason for the whistle blowing, and a request to help me. Four months later on the day President Trump left office I received a non-sense, evasive reply not from the DOJ, not from the FBI, but from the Army, the same agency the whistle blowing was against. The non-response was very purposely hidden from President Trump's staff during his last months in office and not provided to me until January 21, 2021. Again, I mailed my letter to AG Barr on September 3, 2020. The reason for the whistle blowing was hidden during his entire term. Thus goes the cover up. It is the reason for the criminal retribution against me for the past 7+ years.

Evidence to support my claims is here:

<https://www.hiddenfederalwhistleblower.com>

<https://bit.ly/cyberattacksupdate2>

<https://bit.ly/7yearsofdeceit>

<https://bit.ly/3LEINLX> (3LE then small letter "L" NLX)

Attorney Arnold T. Phillips from Honolulu saw merit in my case and represented me previously. Evidence I presented to Attorney Phillips proved I have been victimized. Tragically, he died in January 2023 after hitting his head a fall. Attorney Phillips and I were at the beginning stages of structuring a federal civil rights lawsuit centered on HPD's misconduct when he passed. Before he passed we composed this partial factual basis for my federal complaint:

FACTUAL BASIS FOR MY COMPLAINT

Since July 6, 2016, I have been subjected to retaliatory cyber attacks intended to silence me as a whistle blower. Members of the Honolulu Police Department's Criminal Investigation Division (HPD CID) purposely and with intent failed to thoroughly investigate or outright ignored 36 cyber crime victim statements I submitted from August 4, 2016 through July 17, 2022. Specifically for the period August 9, 2022 through October 17, 2022, Det. Thomas linuma of HPD CID failed to conduct any useful investigative actions then closed all my cyber crime statements falsely claiming I was "uncooperative".

Det. linuma said I failed to deliver a computer for inspection on a day and time he was unavailable. He admitted this in writing. In another rush to closure, Det. linuma claimed I was "uncooperative" by not delivering my only working computer to him on a Monday after his stipulation came with no-notice the prior Friday. He failed to reveal he refused to allow me sufficient time to have a new replacement computer configured by my computer technician to offer protection against sophisticated cyber attacks. There was no positive reason to rush me. After stating he has no international law enforcement jurisdiction, Det. linuma demanded I permanently release to him my pair of brand new encrypted, compromised cell phones I purchased from Canada.

- END -

These are only a few of the actions of misconduct I endured. At my evidence website please refer to file: 3 HPD Actions 2022, Actions #1 - #5.

After sending me his second closure notice on 10/18/22 Det. linuma did not contact me again.

On 12/08/22 while in ongoing contact with my long-time computer technician, Mr. Laine Kohama, owner of Gigaisland Computers in Honolulu, Det. linuma referred to locating cyber criminals related to my same cyber crime cases. Det. linuma referenced his (quote) "ongoing investigation into validating Mr. Finney's criminal complaints" in emails sent to Mr. Finney's computer technician, Mr. Laine Kohama. On 12/08/22, Det. linuma told Mr. Kohama (quote) "We need a recorded interview with you before proceeding with this case with the feds". On 12/15/22 Det. linuma advised Mr. Kohama Mr. Finney's cases have been (quote) forwarded to federal partners who are looking forward to locating "these criminals". Det. linuma said nothing to me before, during, and after communicating with Mr. Kohama.

Nearly two months after closing all my cases under false pretenses, Det. linuma stated to a 3rd party "an investigation is "ongoing", alludes to "proceeding with this case with the feds", and notes "federal partners who look forward to locating criminals". These actions conflict with his October 17, 2022 case closure notice given to me and the post-closure silence.

This is as far as we got before Attorney Phillips died. Much has happened since then.

One of Det. linuma's last acts was to refer me to the HPD police chief for future cyber crime complaints. Clearly understanding the HPD police chief knew of, and condoned the actions of HPD/CID that harmed me, I asked the Mayor of Honolulu to intervene. In my online documentation you'll note I explained when and how my civil rights were violated while exposing assorted lies. The mayor directed back to HPD and the FBI. I responded with a litany of additional examples of HPD misconduct and another request to intervene. I did not receive a reply and have been ignored since then.

The silence is a testimony as to what occurred.

The negative actions of Det. linuma and the other officers involved has caused great personal and professional cost to me. I've been able to stay online only by having my hacked computers repaired over and over and over again and purchasing upgraded network protection at major effort and expense. I continue to receive cyber attacks against my business network. My health has declined immensely in response to the daily stress imposed on me by the dishonest law

enforcement personnel at HPD and the FBI.

Fortunately, I documented all their negligence in great detail. I recorded each time the FBI and HPD failed to respond to my cyber crime notices and have gigabytes of evidence posted online and saved to backup files. Knowing I have proof to expose their crimes, the DOJ, FBI, and politicians allow the cyber criminals to continue their attacks trying to hide and silence what they've done and allowed. Cyber criminals have tried to erase my computers and tablets and stop my printers from working. They have infected USB thumb drives and compromised my firewall. They know I have to stay online to stay financially solvent. They also know I am trying to reach attorneys, news media, and whistle blower advocacy agencies. They know I am trying to contact Sen Grassley from Iowa, Senator Jordan from Ohio, Senator Hawley from Missouri, and Senator Goetz from Florida from the Senate Oversight Committee investigating whistle blowers.

The Army cyber criminals destroyed my computer-based commercial photography business and my wife's online cosmetic supply standup years ago. We've stayed financially solvent by taking out four home equity loans since the hacking started awaiting law enforcement protection. The desire of the FBI, the Army cyber criminals and the HPD/CID is for me to run out of money to pay for computer repair and protection and be knocked offline permanently so what has happened to me and others is never exposed.

One of the most critical areas of law enforcement negligence involves the FBI and HPD refusing to investigate successful attacks on my Virtual Private Network (VPN) software. VPN software allows me to stay hidden online. When cyber criminals attacked and disabled my Express VPN, Nord VPN, and Private Internet Access VPN software, the FBI and HPD ignored cyber crime reports I filed proving the intentional damage in order to ensure illegal online surveillance could continue. A 94-page evidence assessment providing what I state is true is one of dozens of sets of documentation I posted at my websites.

A list of key contacts who can validate my claims follows. I also attached a series of suggested questions to ask HPD and the FBI. Their answers, and lack of answers will provide further evidence my claims are factual.

I urgently need:

- Honest law enforcement intervention to stop the cyber attacks and cellphone intrusions. (They listen, block evidence out, calls in.
- A federal civil rights attorney to address my claims.
- Media exposure.
- Prayer and more prayer.

All those who did not abide by their oath of office do not want to be exposed. If you respond to this email address and I don't reply or call my phone number and get a busy signal or voice mail they have me blocked. I'm on X at phillip_aeros. Please read the files I attached there. My account doesn't appear in Google searches after they made it disappear, however it remains active. I have a another account username RicardoIn808. Please contact me at both via direct message. Please help me.

Best Regards,

Ricardo A. Finney

When you tear out a man's tongue you're not proving him a liar.

You're telling the world you fear what he has to say..."

Key Contacts:

Mr. Laine Kohama, Owner Gigaisland Computers

(808) 673-4749 laine@gigaisland.com support@gigaisland.com

Mr. Kohama validated numerous devices were/are compromised. He has been my technician for 6

½ years - Clearly understands I'm targeted. He has seen the results of the cyber attacks personally many, many times since 2016

Lt. Andrew Maddock, HPD/CID

Long-term nemesis who personally ignored, rejected, or oversaw the management of not investigating my cyber crime reports knowing the attacks I received were real, all done while colluding with the FBI. Supervisor for Det. Iinuma who permanently closed all my 36 cyber crime cases without any authentic investigations in tandem with the FBI.

Det. Thomas Iinuma, HPD/CID

(808) 723-3592 tiinuma@honolulu.gov

Most recent officer to be dishonest, commit acts of omission, negligent, and exhibit other misconduct. Claimed to have a cyber crime 'person of interest' Should be no problem providing ID. I submit there is no one and his claim is a lie.

Claimed to conduct thorough cyber crime investigations. Should be no problem producing five cyber crime statements with HPD/CID investigative findings, facts, conclusions, and determinations. I submit there is not one report he can produce)

FBI Special Agent Mitchell Rubbelke, Cyber crimes

(808) 566-4300 mrbbelke@fbi.gov

Refused to respond to emails, refused to schedule cyber crime validation meeting. FBI refuses to respond to 26 IC3 complaints I've filed since 2016. Never answered my letter sent to the DOJ. DOJ refused my request to task the FBI to find and stop the cyber criminals. DOJ and the FBI ghosted me. Thanking me for my letter to President Trump ??, the Army responded to my 9/3/20 letter sent to Attorney General Barr four months later on the day President Trump left office by telling me I did not qualify under the military whistle blower regulations, directed me to call law enforcement, and informed me matters are permanently closed.

Dr. Cassandra Harrell, the soldier's sister:

(678) 891-9558)

drharrell@gmail.com harrellmillerc@gmail.com

Sister of abused, deceased soldier. Fellow whistle blower and cyber crime victim. Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

Pastor Gary Mason, the family pastor.

(202) 531-8148

gmock70@hotmail.com shanaaz.mason@yahoo.com

Soldier's family pastor, 1st person knowledge about the soldier's abuse/Fellow whistle blower and cyber crime victim

Editor Sharon Rondeau, online newspaper editor ThePostemail.com

editor@postemail.com info@postemail.com

(203) 987-7948 (860) 556-9392

Wrote many online stories over two years about the soldier's treatment and demise.

Wrote stories about the illegal surveillance, phone wiretapping, on and offline stalking, device hacking, all purposely ignored by law enforcement.

Fellow whistle blower/Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

Kelagh Noy, Owner Armadillo encrypted cell phones in Canada

kelagh.noy@armadillophone.com operations@armadillophone.com

(604) 218-0348 (888) 861-4332, ext. 100

Phone, website and email access both ways intercepted, manipulated, or blocked by cyber criminals. Cyber criminals blocked the return of hacked encrypted phones via DHL Canada to Mr. Noy for forensic examinations. Conducting an "investigation" after previously revealing he had no international jurisdiction, Det. Iinuma claimed he repeated the same telephone calls I made and left

that as the full extent of his involvement, then demanded that I deliver the phones to him permanently for "safekeeping" (which I did not do).

Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

Joyce Kuo, News Editor The Epoch Times Newspaper

(626) 478-7753 Joyce.kuo@epochtimesca.com

Through illegal surveillance cyber criminals intercepted and stole my evidence shipment via an impersonator. The Epoch Times has my story for publishing consideration, just what the cyber criminals, the DOJ, FBI, and HPD don't want: Exposure. Cyber crimes for 7 years and whistle blowing gone viral.

Please ask Ms. Kuo if she tried to call me and reached voice mail or a busy signal, never speaking to me directly. Ms. Joyce Kuo is a news reporter for the Epoch Times, a non-left, non-right newspaper. Joyce works in California with a headquarters in New York. Per our last correspondence, she is waiting on go-no go from headquarters.

If anyone has tried, including Joyce, to contact me at the email addresses I provided, their email to me failed not by accident. The reply they received indicated their email bounced back stating my mine was a spam address, or my server was misconfigured and couldn't accept email, or my address was blacklisted, all generated from the US Army hackers who have stalked me for the past 7 years and are high alert trying to stop me from revealing their retribution and criminal actions.

Please ask Joyce if she has tried to call me or if her headquarters has attempted to reach me by phone and failed, repeatedly getting a busy signal. My phone is wiretapped and cloned. The Army cyber criminals control the receiving end of my phone. I can make a contact, but once the number registers in their cloned phone they see what I see, then block the number arriving to me using voice. Please understand the FBI, who has never investigated even one of the 27 FBI IC3 cyber crime complaints I've filed since 2016 is on the hook for answers.

I submit calls made to my phone were bounced and eventually stopped. In the seven months since I began corresponding with Ms. Kuo I never received calls or voice mail from anyone at the Epoch Times. I'm positive any calls made to me failed as well for the nefarious reasons I detailed.

Mayor Rick Blangiardi, Mayor of Honolulu

(808) 768-4141 Mayor@honolulu.gov

Contacted the Mayor with a notification that HPD gave an inconclusive, misleading reply in response to my claim of biased, dishonest treatment and that they unfairly closed all my cases to hide their negligence. The Mayor refused my request for intervention after I explained damaging cyber attacks continued against me and that HPD failed to thoroughly investigate nearly 40 cyber crime reports filed over seven years so none would be referred to the FBI.

After telling the Mayor HPD did nothing to stop the crimes committed against me, I asked him to intervene and direct HPD to act to protect me against further attacks. The Mayor asked me to "continue to work with HPD" on my own and to file a complaint with HPD. While cyber attacks against me continued, I explained HPD stated they would not act on my prior cases and asked again for him to intervene. I asked for a meeting with my computer technician and I to prove my attack claims were true. The Mayor did not respond to my request and stopped communicating.

QUESTIONS TO ASK HPD (Not in event order)

For details about each question, please refer to:

<https://bit.ly/7yearsofdeceit> Go to "Links"

- Det. linuma required Mr. Finney to produce six years of computer servicing administrative records before any crime investigations would start. Why was this requirement stipulated when HPD/CID had 6+ years of prior and current cyber cases unattended and not thoroughly investigated?

- Det. linuma closed all Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases stating Mr. Finney was "uncooperative" by not surrendering two encrypted phones Mr. Finney had purchased for \$3600 from Canada.

Previously, Det. linuma stated his local police force had no international jurisdiction with no claim on the devices, yet Mr. Finney was considered accountable for delivering and relinquishing his expensive property purchased from Canada without reimbursement or compensation. He was penalized for not doing so. Why?

- Det. linuma demanded Mr. Finney relinquish ownership of his two encrypted cellphones for "safekeeping". Why didn't HPD simply refer the international incident over to the FBI?
- Det. linuma closed all Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases stating Mr. Finney was "uncooperative" by not delivering devices for "safekeeping" and "inspection" by the set deadline. Mr. Finney disclosed Det. linuma deceitfully neglected to disclose he was unavailable on the scheduled day and time and explained why. Since Det. linuma was unavailable on the day and time he set, and he did not have jurisdiction to lay claim to the cell phones why was Mr. Finney considered uncooperative?
- Det. linuma gave his deadline notice on a Friday stipulating the next Monday for Mr. Finney to deliver his only working computer for examination. Because of the constant hacking repeatedly referred to Det. linuma in emails, Mr. Finney explained he needed additional time past the imposed deadline to have his technician, Mr. Kohama to configure another computer with advanced security. Why did Det. linuma ignore Mr. Finney's notification? Why wasn't Mr. Finney allowed additional time to have a protected computer placed online before closing all his cases permanently?
- Det. linuma claimed HPD's responses to documented computer compromises were never based on opinions and guesses. Mr. Finney states this is not true. Who is correct?
- Det. linuma stated he had a "person of interest" suspected of committing cyber attacks against Mr. and that the individual was someone close to him. Mr. Finney does not believe Det. linuma was truthful. Who was the person Det. linuma identified?
- During his four-month interaction with Det. linuma Mr. Finney was not allowed to pause and take a break from his sessions. During the 6 ½ years Mr. Finney filed cyber crime reports with various HPD officers, HPD officers went on sick leave, left for vacations, and went for months with no feedback, updates, or case decisions referred to Mr. Finney HPD. How do you do you account for the disparity in treatment? Why was Mr. Finney forced to continue with no break when HPD officers were not held to the same standard?
- Under the auspices of being a cyber attack assistance resource, Det. linuma directed Mr. Finney to Hawaii Cares, a mental health organization. Why wasn't Det. linuma forthright and direct about his referral? Why did he feel the need to make a mental health referral for Mr. Finney after this had been an action included in a formal HPD complaint against his supervisor Lt. Maddock? Lt Maddock claimed Mr. Finney was paranoid and imagining the compromises referred as cyber crimes?
- Why wasn't Det. linuma forthright and direct about his referral instead of masking it under a guise of direct cyber crime support?
- On 1/13/23 Det. liinuma told Mr. Finney's computer technician that he had reached out to many businesses and individuals mentioned to him by Mr. Finney seeking validation cyber criminals hacked Mr. Finney's computers. Det. linuma stated all the businesses refused to provide validation cyber criminals hacked Mr. Finney's computers. What and who were all the many businesses and individuals Det. linuma reached out to?
- From the 30+cyber crime cases Mr. Finney submitted, how many were thoroughly investigated with conclusions and final determinations?
- Det. linuma permanently closed Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases 10/17/22 and terminated direct communication with him. On 12/8/22 Det. linuma notified Mr. Finney's computer technician of an "ongoing investigation" into Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases. Det. linuma reopened Mr. Finney's cases without informing or involving the victim, Mr. Finney. Det. linuma prohibited Mr. Finney's involvement. How was there a valid "ongoing investigation" of Mr. Finney's cases in Dec 2022

when Det. linuma permanently closed all of Mr. Finney's cases in Oct 2022 and stopped interacting one-on-one?

- The arrangements for attendees at the interview with Mr. Finney's computer technician, Mr. Kohama did not include Mr. Finney, the cyber crime victim. Why was Mr. Finney denied attendance at the interview with Mr. Kohama? Why wasn't Mr. Finney informed about, and included in the planned interview?

- In response to Mr. Kohama's request for Mr. Finney to attend the interview, Det. linuma explained Mr. Finney could (quote) "join at another time." What date was "the other time" to be when all cases were permanently closed on 10/17/22?

- Did Det. linuma inform the other interview attendees for the planned January 2023 meeting that he had permanently closed all of Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases in October 2022?

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS TO ASK HPD

(From files at <https://7yearsofdeceit> - Links - Attachment 12c)

Questions asked to the HPD/CID Commander - No reply given

1. Please explain why the video Mr. Finney captured from his computer's desktop that reveals pages at the postemail.com Facebook website rapidly changing in a movie-like fashion instead of transitioning when clicked was not considered a compromise.

2. Please explain why the unusual action noted was not considered fact or sufficient evidence of online stalking and illegal remote access into Mr. Finney's home business network.

3. Please explain why the video Mr. Finney provided from his computer's desktop revealing his Standard and Administrator accounts active during the same attempted login session was not considered fact or sufficient evidence of online stalking and illegal remote access into his home business network.

4. Two items Mr. Finney considered hacked are listed at one of his evidence sites, <https://www.bit.ly/380QUg8> at Attachment 12c. Why did HPD consider these events normal computer issues or spoofing by scam callers?

5. Concerning how Mr. Finney's HPD crime statements were handled overall, have HPD officers been directed from higher authority to not investigate Mr. Finney's HPD cyber crime statements, regardless of the content or evidence presented? If not, why weren't my Mr. Finney's cases thoroughly investigated with determinations, conclusions, and crime prevention solutions provided?

FBI

- Since 2016 Mr. Finney has submitted over 30 FBI IC3 cyber crime complaints and letters to the Chief Operating Office at the FBI Hawaii field office and the Department of Justice seeking law enforcement intervention to stop sophisticated, daily cyber attacks. Evidence provided includes forensic, audio, video, and written evidence along with testimonies from witnesses who validated Mr. Finney's cyber crime claims. Among numerous interviews, Mr. Finney was seen by agents in California and Hawaii and most recently sent emails asking for intervention to a Hawaii-based FBI agent who continues to ignore him. In seven years not one reply has been sent or a phone call returned by anyone at the FBI at any level.

- The FBI has been, and continues to allow the cyber attacks made against Mr. Finney to continue uninterrupted ignoring the forensic, audio, video, and written documentation he has presented along with written testimony from computer engineers validating his devices have been compromised again and again.

FBI Reasoning

- The FBI's lack of action in coordination with HPD has a purpose. Investigating cyber crimes committed against me ultimately uncovers facts surrounding the whistle blowing. All those who are complicit are counting on the hackers to attack successfully and take me offline permanently, or continue attacking until I can no longer afford the high costs for specialized online security and my

connectivity is blocked.

Question:

With the mountain of cyber crime evidence presented over such a long period delivered to you by Mr. Finney, why have the cyber attacks against him and his family been allowed to continue with no investigations conducted?


Sent via [OnMail](#)

Relayed: Resubmission From Ricardo For Charlie Kirk

Mail Delivery System <MAILER-DAEMON@outbound10-encrypted-mta.paubox.com>

Thu 8/15/2024 3:54 PM

To:reppierick@capitol.hawaii.gov <reppierick@capitol.hawaii.gov>

 1 attachments (20 KB)

Message Headers;

This is the mail system at host outbound10-encrypted-mta.paubox.com.

Your message was successfully delivered to the destination(s) listed below. If the message was delivered to mailbox you will receive no further notifications. Otherwise you may still receive notifications of mail delivery errors from other systems.

The mail system

<reppierick@capitol.hawaii.gov>: delivery via pbrouter: delivered via pbrouter service