

August 21, 2023

From: Mr. Ricardo A. Finney
92-1206 Hookeha Place
Kapolei, HI 96707
(808) 255-9701 (808) 582-8806
Joshua1nine@lionofjudah808.live
ricardof@mailfence.com
finney808@proton.me

Subj: Federal Civil Rights Violations/Request for Legal Representation

Aloha, Sir or Madam...

This correspondence is from Mr. Ricardo A. Finney, a resident of Kapolei, Hawaii. I am a disabled, elderly US Air Force veteran, a targeted federal whistle blower against the US Army, and a cyber crime victim. My federal civil rights have been violated by members of the Honolulu Police Department Criminal Investigation Division (HPD/CID). I am seeking legal assistance to file a federal civil rights lawsuit against HPD and the City & County of Honolulu to begin. To keep the aforementioned whistle blowing hidden, HPD/CID purposely ignored, then rushed to close 36 cyber crime victim statements or reports I submitted from August 2016 to July 2022. Colluding with the FBI Hawaii Field Office, HPD closed my cyber crime cases with no thorough investigations done to avoid a formal cyber crime referral to the FBI that would dove tail into a whistle blower investigation to avoid a formal cyber crime referral to the FBI. The FBI ignored 28 FBI IC3 cyber crime complaint I filed from August 2016 through June 2023 to avoid a whistle blower investigation. Evidence to support my claims are here:

<https://www.hiddenfederalwhistleblower.com> <https://bit.ly/7yearsofdeceit>

Attorney Arnold T. Phillips from Honolulu saw merit in my case and represented me previously. Tragically, he died in January 2023 after hitting his head a fall. Evidence I presented to Attorney Phillips proved I have been victimized. Attorney Phillips and I were at the beginning stages of structuring a federal civil rights lawsuit centered on HPD's misconduct when he passed.

We composed this partial factual basis for my federal complaint:

FACTUAL BASIS FOR MY COMPLAINT

Since July 6, 2016, I have been subjected to retaliatory cyber attacks intended to silence me as a whistle blower. Members of the Honolulu Police Department's Criminal Investigation Division (HPD CID) purposely and with intent failed to thoroughly investigate or outright ignored 36 cyber crime victim statements I submitted from August 4, 2016 through July 17, 2022. Each time I filed a case, police investigators would respond with an excuse why my

evidence was invalid or not respond at all. Specifically for the period August 9, 2022 through October 17, 2022, Det. Thomas linuma of HPD CID failed to conduct any useful investigative actions then closed all my cyber crime statements falsely claiming I was “uncooperative”, done to keep what had transpired quiet and hidden. Det. linuma stated I failed to deliver a computer to him for inspection on a day and time he was unavailable. He admitted this in writing.

In another rush to closure, Det. linuma claimed I was “uncooperative” by not delivering my only working computer to him on a Monday after his stipulation came with no-notice the prior Friday. He failed to reveal he refused to allow me sufficient time to have a new replacement computer configured by my computer technician to offer protection against sophisticated cyber attacks. There was no positive reason to rush me. After stating he has no international law enforcement jurisdiction, Det. linuma demanded I permanently release to him my pair of brand new encrypted, compromised cell phones I purchased from Canada. He intimidated a fellow whistle blower I provided as a credible witness to the point she refused to continue with an interview which met his intent to keep her testimony silent.

These are only a few of the actions of misconduct I endured. At my evidence website <https://bit.ly/7yearsofdeceit> please refer to file: **3 HPD Actions 2022, Actions #1 - #5.**

The determination by HPD to close all my cases was not based on an honest, forthright, unbiased assessment of my cyber crime cases centered on facts, truth, and reality. Instead, through deceit, intentional misdirection, witness intimidation, and various tricks, tactics, and ploys to include twisting information and committing acts of omission, Det. linuma from HPD misled me the entire 13 weeks we interacted from August to October 2022. As was the case with the officers who came before him, Det. linuma did nothing to resolve any of my 30+ cyber crime case on file. However, this time I was led me on a pre-planned hamster wheel of ever-increasing administrative tasks with most of them being impossible to resolve yet demanded, none which were true case investigations.

After sending me his second closure notice on 10/18/22 Det. linuma did not contact me again.

Yet on 12/08/22 while in ongoing contact with my long-time computer technician, Mr. Laine Kohama, owner of Gigaisland Computers in Honolulu, Det. linuma referred to locating cyber criminals related to my same cyber crime cases. Det. linuma referenced his (quote) **“ongoing investigation into validating Mr. Finney's criminal complaints”** in emails sent to Mr. Finney’s computer technician, Mr. Laine Kohama. On 12/08/22, Det. linuma told Mr. Kohama (quote) **“We need a recorded interview with you before proceeding with this case with the feds”**. On 12/15/22 Det. linuma advised Mr. Kohama Mr. Finney’s cases have been (quote) **forwarded to federal partners who are looking forward to locating “these criminals”**. Det. linuma said nothing to me before, during, and after communicating with Mr. Kohama. Nearly two months after closing all my cases under false pretenses, Det. linuma stated to a 3rd party **“an investigation is “ongoing”, alludes to “proceeding with this case with the feds”, and notes**

“federal partners who look forward to locating criminals”. These actions conflict with his October 17, 2022 case closure notice given to me and the post-closure silence.

Det. linuma’s comments are listed in the evidence file titled “4 HPD Closed Ongoing Investigation” at my evidence website.

Under the pretense of investigating cyber crimes committed against me, Det. linuma applied various tricks, tactics, and ploys to include twisting information, committing acts of omission, and witness intimidation among other negative actions. During the entire period of our interaction, Det. linuma intentionally misdirected me and refused to refer any of my multiple cyber crime cases to the FBI including the international incident. I repeatedly asked Det. linuma if he was in contact with the FBI. Det. linuma was evasive and refused to answer the questions at any time during our interaction. Det. linuma stated he had a “person of interest” who was alleged to be close to me, yet his reference fit no profile of anyone I’ve known. I don’t believe this person exists.

At this point Attorney Phillips died ending our progress. Since then cyber criminals have tried to take me offline.

One of Det. linuma’s last acts was to refer me to the HPD police chief for future cyber crime complaints. Clearly understanding the HPD police chief knew of, and condoned the actions of HPD/CID that harmed me, I asked the Mayor of Honolulu to intervene. I explained when and how my civil rights were violated while exposing assorted lies. The mayor directed back to HPD and the FBI. I responded with a litany of additional examples of HPD misconduct and another request to intervene. I did not receive a reply and have been ignored since then. The silence is a testimony as to what occurred.

Emails detailing the interaction are at <https://bit.ly/cyberattackupdate2>

I attached a list of key contacts who will validate my various claims. I also attached a series of suggested questions to ask HPD and the FBI. Their answers, and lack of answers will provide further evidence my claims are factual.

Please advise if you will represent me. If so please contact me immediately. Thank you for your valuable time.

Best Regards,

Ricardo A. Finney

***“When you tear out a man’s tongue you’re not proving him a liar.
You’re telling the world you fear what he has to say...”***

Key Contacts

Mr. Laine Kohama, Owner Gigaisland Computers

(808) 673-4749 laine@gigaisland.com support@gigaisland.com

Mr. Kohama validated numerous devices were/are compromised. He has been my technician for 6 ½ years - Clearly understands I'm targeted. He has seen the results of the cyber attacks personally many, many times since 2016

Det. Thomas Iinuma, HPD/CID

(808) 723-3592 tiinuma@honolulu.gov

Most recent officer to be dishonest, commit acts of omission, negligent, and exhibit other misconduct. Claimed to have a cyber crime 'person of interest' Should be no problem providing ID. ***I submit there is no one and his claim is a lie.***

Claimed to conduct thorough cyber crime investigations. Should be no problem producing five cyber crime statements with HPD/CID investigative findings, facts, conclusions, and determinations. ***I submit there is not one report he can produce***

FBI Special Agent Mitchell Rubbelke, Cyber crimes

(808) 566-4300 mrubbelke@fbi.gov

Refused to respond to emails, refused to schedule cyber crime validation meeting FBI refuses to respond to 26 IC3 complaints I've filed since 2016. Never answered my letter sent to the DOJ. DOJ refused my request to task the FBI to find and stop the cyber criminals. DOJ and the FBI ghosted me. Thanking me for my letter to President Trump ??, the Army responded to my 9/3/20 letter sent to Attorney General Barr four months later on the day President Trump left office by telling me I did not qualify under the military whistle blower regulations, directed me to call law enforcement, and informed me matters are permanently closed.

Dr. Cassandra Harrell, the soldier's sister: (678) 891-9558)

drharrell@gmail.com harrellmillerc@gmail.com

Sister of abused, deceased soldier. Fellow whistle blower and cyber crime victim
Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

Pastor Gary Mason, the family pastor: (202) 531-8148
gmock70@hotmail.com shanaaz.mason@yahoo.com

Soldier's family pastor, 1st person knowledge about the soldier's abuse

Fellow whistle blower and cyber crime victim

Editor Sharon Rondeau, online newspaper editor ThePostemail.com

editor@postemail.com

(203) 987-7948

info@postemail.com

(860) 556-9392

Wrote many online stories over two years about the soldier's treatment and demise.
Wrote stories about the illegal surveillance, phone wiretapping, on and offline stalking,
device hacking, all purposely ignored by law enforcement.

Fellow whistle blower

Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

Kelagh Noy, Owner Armadillo encrypted cell phones in Canada

kelagh.noy@armadillophone.com

(604) 218-0348

operations@armadillophone.com

(888) 861-4332, ext. 100

Phone, website and email access both ways intercepted, manipulated, or blocked by cyber criminals. Cyber criminals blocked the return of hacked encrypted phones via DHL Canada Canada to Mr. Noy for forensic examinations. Conducting an "investigation" after previously revealing he had no international jurisdiction, Det. Inuma claimed he repeated the same phone calls. That was the full extent of his "investigation". He then demanded I deliver the phones to him permanently for "safekeeping" (I did not). Please call Mr. Noy who will validate he has failed to reach me by phone and email. Cyber criminals block our correspondence

Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

Joyce Kuo, News Editor The Epoch Times Newspaper

(626) 478-7753

Joyce.kuo@epochtimesca.com

Through illegal surveillance cyber criminals intercepted and stole my evidence shipment via an impersonator. The Epoch Times has my story for publishing consideration, just what the cyber criminals, the DOJ, FBI, and HPD *don't* want: Exposure. Cyber crimes for 7 years and whistle blowing gone viral.

Please ask Ms. Kuo if she tried to call me and reached voice mail or a busy signal, never speaking to me directly. Ms. Joyce Kuo is a news reporter for the Epoch Times, a non-left, non-right newspaper. Joyce works in California with a headquarters in New York. Per our last correspondence, she is waiting on go-no go from headquarters.

If anyone has tried, including Joyce, to contact me at the email addresses I provided, their email to me failed not by accident. The reply they received indicated their email bounced back stating my mine was a spam address, or my server was misconfigured and couldn't accept email, or my address was blacklisted, all generated from the US Army hackers who have stalked me for the past 7 years and are high alert trying to stop me from revealing their retribution and criminal actions. Please ask Joyce if she has tried to call me or if her headquarters has attempted to reach me by phone and failed, repeatedly

getting a busy signal. My phone is wiretapped and cloned. The Army cyber criminals control the receiving end of my phone. I can make a contact, but once the number registers in their cloned phone they see what I see, then block the number arriving to me using voice. Please understand the FBI, who has never investigated even one of the 27 FBI IC3 cyber crime complaints I've filed since 2016 is on the hook for answers.

I submit calls made to my phone were bounced and eventually stopped. In the seven months since I began corresponding with Ms. Kuo I never received calls or voice mail from anyone at the Epoch Times. I'm positive any calls made to me failed as well for the nefarious reasons I detailed.

Cyber criminals block our connections to prevent communication

QUESTIONS TO ASK HPD

(Not in event order)

For details about each question, please refer to <https://bit.ly/7yearsofdeceit> Go to “Links”

- Det. Inuma closed all Mr. Finney’s cyber crime cases stating Mr. Finney was “uncooperative” by not surrendering two encrypted phones Mr. Finney had purchased from Canada. Previously, Det. Inuma stated his local police force had no international jurisdiction with no claim on the devices, yet Mr. Finney was considered accountable for delivering and relinquishing his property purchased from Canada without reimbursement or compensation. He was penalized for not doing so. Why?

- Det. Inuma closed all Mr. Finney’s cyber crime cases stating Mr. Finney was “uncooperative” by not delivering devices for “safekeeping” and “inspection” by the set deadline. Mr. Finney disclosed Det. Inuma deceitfully neglected to disclose he was unavailable on the scheduled day and time and explained why. Since Det. Inuma was unavailable on the day and time he set, and he did not have jurisdiction to lay claim to the cell phones why was Mr. Finney considered uncooperative?

- Det. Inuma demanded Mr. Finney relinquish ownership of his two encrypted cellphones for “safekeeping”. Why didn’t HPD simply refer the international incident over to the FBI?

- Because of the constant hacking repeatedly referred to Det. Inuma in emails, Mr. Finney explained he needed additional time past the imposed deadline to have Mr. Kohama configure a computer with advanced security. Why did Det. Inuma ignore Mr. Finney’s notification? Why wasn’t Mr. Finney allowed additional time to have a protected computer placed online?

- Det. Inuma required Mr. Finney to produce six years of computer servicing administrative records before any crime investigations would start. Why was this requirement stipulated when HPD/CID left so many prior and current cyber cases unattended and not thoroughly investigated ?

- Det. Inuma claimed HPD’s responses to documented computer compromises were never based on opinions and guesses. Mr. Finney states this is not true. Who is correct?

- During his four-month interaction with Det. Inuma Mr. Finney was not allowed to pause and take a break from his sessions. During the 6 ½ years Mr. Finney filed cyber crime reports with various HPD officers, HPD officers went on sick leave, left for vacations, and went for months with no feedback, updates, or case decisions referred to Mr. Finney HPD. How do you account for the disparity in treatment? Why was Mr. Finney forced to continue with no break when HPD officers were not held to the same standard?

- Under the auspices of being a cyber attack assistance resource, Det. Inuma directed Mr. Finney to Hawaii Cares, a mental health organization. Why wasn’t Det. Inuma forthright and direct about his referral? Why did he feel the need to make a mental health referral for Mr. Finney after this had been an action included in a formal HPD complaint against his supervisor Lt. Maddock?

Lt Maddock claimed Mr. Finney was paranoid and imagining the compromises referred as cyber crimes?

- Why wasn't Det. Iinuma forthright and direct about his referral instead of masking it under a guise of direct cyber crime support?

- On 1/13/23 Det. Iinuma told Mr. Finney's computer technician that he had reached out to many businesses and individuals mentioned to him by Mr. Finney seeking validation cyber criminals hacked Mr. Finney's computers. Det. Iinuma stated all the businesses refused to provide validation cyber criminals hacked Mr. Finney's computers. What and who were all the many businesses and individuals Det. Iinuma reached out to?

- From the 30+cyber crime cases Mr. Finney submitted, how many were **thoroughly investigated** with **conclusions and final determinations**?

- Det. Iinuma permanently closed Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases 10/17/22 and terminated direct communication with him. On 12/8/22 Det. Iinuma notified Mr. Finney's computer technician of an "ongoing investigation" into Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases. Det. Iinuma reopened Mr. Finney's cases without informing or involving the victim, Mr. Finney. Det. Iinuma prohibited Mr. Finney's involvement. How was there a valid "ongoing investigation" of Mr. Finney's cases in Dec 2022 when Det. Iinuma permanently closed all of Mr. Finney's cases in Oct 2022 and stopped interacting one-on-one?

- The arrangements for attendees at the interview with Mr. Finney's computer technician, Mr. Kohama did not include Mr. Finney, the cyber crime victim. Why was Mr. Finney denied attendance at the interview with Mr. Kohama? Why wasn't Mr. Finney informed about, and included in the planned interview?

- In response to Mr. Kohama's request for Mr. Finney to attend the interview, Det. Iinuma explained Mr. Finney could (quote) "join at another time." What date was "the other time" to be when all cases were permanently closed on 10/17/22?

- Did Det. Iinuma inform the other interview attendees for the planned January 2023 meeting that he had permanently closed all of Mr. Finney's cyber crime cases in October 2022?

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS TO ASK HPD/CID
(From files at <https://7yearsofdeceit> - Links - Attachment 12c)

Questions asked to the HPD/CID Commander - No reply given

1. Please explain why the video Mr. Finney captured from his computer's desktop reveals pages at the postemail.com Facebook website rapidly changing in a movie-like fashion instead of changing when clicked as normal.
2. Please explain why the unusual action noted was not considered fact or sufficient evidence of online stalking and illegal remote access into Mr. Finney's home business network.
3. Please explain why the video Mr. Finney provided from his computer's desktop revealing my Standard and Administrator accounts active during the same attempted login session was not considered fact or sufficient evidence of online stalking and illegal remote access into his home business network.
4. Two items Mr. Finney considered hacked are listed at one of his evidence sites, <https://www.bit.ly/380QUg8> Why are the events Mr. Finney referenced in Attachment 12c at the site NOT considered hacking but instead normal computer issues or spoofing by scam callers?
5. Concerning how Mr. Finney's HPD crime statements were handled overall, have HPD officers been directed from higher authority to **not** investigate Mr. Finney's HPD cyber crime statements, regardless of the content or evidence presented? If not, why weren't my Mr. Finney's cases **thoroughly** investigated with determinations, conclusions, and crime prevention solutions provided?

Question To Ask The FBI

- Since 2016 Mr. Finney has submitted over 30 FBI IC3 cyber crime complaints and letters to the Chief Operating Office at the FBI Hawaii field office and the Department of Justice seeking law enforcement intervention to stop sophisticated, daily cyber attacks. Evidence provided includes forensic, audio, video, and written evidence along with testimonies from witnesses who validated Mr. Finney's cyber crime claims. Among numerous interviews, Mr. Finney was seen by agents in California and Hawaii and most recently sent emails asking for intervention to a Hawaii-based FBI agent who continues to ignore him. In seven years not one reply has been sent or a phone call returned by anyone at the FBI at any level.

- The FBI has been, and continues to allow the cyber attacks made against Mr. Finney to continue uninterrupted ignoring the forensic, audio, video, and written documentation he has presented along with written testimony from computer engineers validating his devices have been compromised again and again.

FBI Reasoning: The FBI's lack of action in coordination with HPD has a purpose. Investigating cyber crimes committed against me ultimately uncovers facts surrounding the whistle blowing. All those who are complicit are counting on the hackers to attack successfully and take me offline permanently, or continue attacking until I can no longer afford the high costs for specialized online security and my connectivity is blocked.

Question: With the mountain of cyber crime evidence presented over such a long period delivered to you by Mr. Finney, why have the cyber attacks against him and his family been allowed to continue without investigations being conducted